arrow

vol. 28 no. 1 2022 issn 1394-4444

for change

Disability Rights and SRHR:
An Intersectional Approach to Ending VAW



Sexual and Gender-based Violence Against Women and Girls with Disabilities: A Mapping of Key Issues in an Asia-Pacific Context

spotlight 8-25

Access to Justice Barriers: Women and Girls With Disabilities Facing All Forms of Violence and Discrimination

Community Resilience Support for Prevention of Sexual and Gender-Based Violence and Discrimination Against Women and Girls with Disabilities: A Case Study from Bangladesh

The Right to Legal Capacity, Its Recognition in Human Rights Law, and Challenges for Women With Disabilities

Managing Menstruation with Disabilities: Experiences of Women and Girls

2–6 Disability Justice and
Autonomy: Intersections With
SRHR and Gender in Ending
and All Forms of Violence and
Discrimination

Biopower and the Biopolitics of Disability

8-25 Accessibility: A Precondition for Full and Effective
 Participation in Social Life and Development for Women and ms of Girls With Disabilities

in their own words 25-27

Championing the Rights and Inclusion of Girls and Young Women with Disabilities in Nepal: An Interview With Laxmi Nepal

monitoring national and regional activities 28—31

Dementia, A Gendered Issue that Intersects with Ageing and Disability Rights in Malaysia Youth Perspectives on Women and Girls who are Deaf and/or Hard of Hearing in Sri Lanka

resources from the arrow srhr knowledge sharing

centre 32-34

other resources 34

definitions

factfile 36-42

35-36

44

Localising SDGs and International Human Rights Standards Around Rights of Women and Girls With Disabilities

editorial and production team

published by

the asian-pacific resource and research centre for women (arrow)





published with the funding support of





spotlight

the same without the support of the organisation. So, she is demanding that the government protect all women and take initiatives so that they can easily access justice. In her words, "It should be considered that they are powerless and prone to violence. Most women are denied justice and forced to compromise. It should be ensured that no women is turned back without administrative help." Only recently the government of Bangladesh and development sector have recognised the importance of addressing the SRHR needs of persons with disabilities. This neglected area of public health needs continuous attention and improvement. Besides, it is widely believed that persons with disabilities themselves do not raise their voices on SRHR in Bangladesh, partly because they do not see it as a basic need.

The community-level support intervention described here shows how the community mobilisers educate other women and girls with disabilities on different aspects of SRHR in their communities, which helps prevent SGBV and alleviates discrimination against women and girls with disabilities. This kind of intervention should be considered by policymakers and other stakeholders (e.g., NGO and OPD professionals) involved with the disability and SRHR sector to prevent SGVB against women and girls with disabilities and address the SRHR needs of persons with disabilities in Bangladesh.

Notes & References

1 UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs. The UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. (New York: UN DESA, 2008). https://www.un.org/development/

- desa/disabilities/convention-on-the-rights-of-personswith-disabilities/convention-on-the-rights-of-persons-withdisabilities-2.html.
- 2 Human Rights Watch. Bangladesh: Submission to the UN Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 27th Session. (2022). https://www.hrw.org/news/2022/07/18/ bangladesh-submission-un-committee-rights-personsdisabilities
- 3 YPSA. WISH2ACTION: Women's Integrated Sexual Health Project. https://ypsa.org/wish2action-womens-integratedsexual-health-project/.
- 4 Fatema Akhter Bonny, Adity Shayontony Das, Sharmin Sultana, Adeepto Intisar Ahmed, Arifa Binte Mohosin, and Md Tanvir Hasan. Current Situation of SRHR of Persons with Disabilities in Bangladesh in the Context of SDGs and UNCRPD. (BRAC James P Grant, School of Public Health, BRAC University, Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2020). https://aidstream.org/files/documents/Disability-&SRHR_2nd-Policy-Dialogue-Infographic-book-4-January-202120210307 080347.pdf.
- Md Tanvir Hasan, Tisa Muhaddes, Suborna Camellia, Nasima Selim, and Sabina Faiz Rashid. Prevalence and experiences of intimate partner violence against women with disabilities in Bangladesh: results of an explanatory sequential mixedmethod study. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 29, 17 (2014), 3105-3126.
- 6 Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. (Dhaka: Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, 2013). District Statistics 2011 Bogra. http://www.bbs.gov.bd/site/page/2888a55d-d686-4736-bado-54b70462afda/District-Statistics.

THE RIGHT TO LEGAL CAPACITY, ITS RECOGNITION IN HUMAN RIGHTS LAW, AND CHALLENGES FOR WOMEN WITH DISABILITIES

By Matthew S. Smith

Director of Advocacy Initiatives, Harvard Law School Project on Disability (HPOD) **Email:** msmith@law.harvard.edu

Introduction. Persons with disabilities around the world struggle to make the kinds of decisions about their lives that many other people take for granted. These include decisions about whom to marry, how to vote, whether to have children, and more. Chester Finn, a prominent disability rights advocate, has explained many of the decision-making barriers that persons with disabilities face which are rooted in widespread beliefs that persons with disabilities are less capable than others: "For a long time, people have felt that people with disabilities were incapable of a lot of things. We started to change the narrative and advocated for what we can do, and we showed people that we're

capable of things. But still somehow they don't believe it."

Among the many kinds of barriers to exerting control over their lives faced by persons with disabilities are formal rules about who is allowed to exercise "legal capacity." Legal capacity refers to a person's authority to enter into legal relationships with others or to take on binding legal obligations.² A common example of exercising legal capacity is entering into a contract. Before someone may enter into a valid contract with someone else, most legal systems first require that they both be considered eligible to do so.

Beyond entering into contracts, having legal capacity is often a threshold requirement for exercising many other fundamental rights, such as the right to vote.3 Legal capacity "enables persons to sculpt their own legal universe" by "open[ing] up zones of personal freedom" and "facilitat[ing] uncoerced interactions".4 As a "shield," the right to exercise legal capacity allows persons with disabilities to fend off unwanted interference in their lives. As a "sword," this right also empowers persons with disabilities to impress their will and preferences upon the world. In other words, having legal capacity imbues real meaning into the notion of personhood.

spotlight

From Disability to Incapacity. Imposing requirements on people when making consequential decisions serves a purpose: these prerequisites aim to help protect people who may not be prepared to take on certain responsibilities. However, when these rules are combined with discriminatory attitudes, they can become exclusionary and harmful, not only for persons with disabilities but also for other marginalised groups, including women and indigenous peoples. In practice, legal capacity restrictions have exposed persons with intellectual and psychosocial disabilities to forced abortion and sterilisation, forced medication, involuntary hospitalisation, involuntary institutionalisation, disenfranchisement, ineligibility for adoption or marriage, forfeiture of property or child custody rights, and more.5

Medical professionals play an outsize role in the application of such legal capacity rules. Many legal systems authorise medical professionals to determine a person's "mental capacity," that is, whether a person understands the nature and consequences of their actions. Where medical professionals determine that a person lacks the capacity to understand the nature and consequences of a specific kind of decision, that mental incapacity determination provides a justification for formal legal capacity restrictions. Thus, many legal systems require that a doctor obtain informed consent from someone other than the patient when the doctor believes the patient lacks the capacity to consent to understand the ramifications of a health care treatment. While in some cases such a requirement may be a vital safeguard, in other cases, especially if doctors harbor biases about persons with disabilities' capabilities, the mental incapacity determinations that lead to legal capacity restrictions can cause violations of international human rights law.

Imposing requirements on people when making consequential decisions serves a purpose. However, when these rules are combined with discriminatory attitudes, they can become exclusionary and harmful, not only for persons with disabilities but also for other marginalised groups, including women and indigenous peoples.

The Role of Human Rights Protections.

Article 12 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) affirms that persons with disabilities have a right to exercise legal capacity free of discrimination.6 Further, it grants persons with disabilities the right to receive support in doing so. This means that even if persons with disabilities may have some difficulty satisfying a legal system's threshold requirements for exercising legal capacity, they are entitled to receive the assistance they may need in order to do so. Effectively, Article 12 flips the script: instead of using legal capacity rules as a tool for excluding persons with certain disabilities, duty-bearers must create enabling conditions that allow persons with all kinds of disabilities to make important decisions about their lives. As a result, the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities has consistently interpreted Article 12 to prohibit legal capacity restrictions that are based on mental incapacity determinations.7

Just as women with disabilities face multiple and aggravated forms of discrimination in all areas of life, 8 they also face unique legal capacity challenges. Take, for example, the right to make consequential decisions about one's sexual and reproductive health.

The 2009 case Suchita Srivastava v. Chandigarh Administration involved an orphaned woman with intellectual disability who lived in a state-run institution in the city of Chandigarh, India, where she was raped.9 After institution staff learned she was pregnant, the city government appointed a medical board that included a gynecologist, a radiologist, a pediatrician, and a psychiatrist to examine Ms. Srivastava. They determined that it was in her interest to terminate the pregnancy. The city government petitioned the High Court of Punjab and Haryana for permission to do so. The High Court constituted an expert body of medical experts and a judicial officer, who determined that Ms. Srivastava was "unable to appreciate and understand the consequences of her own future and that of the child she is bearing." The expert body also found that Ms. Srivastava was "happy with the idea that she has a baby inside her and looks forward to seeing the same." Even though the expert body opined that Ms. Srivastava should be permitted to bring her pregnancy to term, the High Court and granted the city government permission to terminate against her wishes.

However, on appeal, the Supreme Court of India overruled the High Court. Noting India's obligations under the CRPD, and presaging more recent, sweeping vindications of disability rights, the Supreme Court reasoned that the High Court impermissibly used Ms. Srivastava's mental capacity as a basis for restricting her legal capacity and overriding her express wishes. Denying her the opportunity to decide for herself whether she wanted to have children would "amount to an arbitrary and unreasonable restriction on [her] reproductive rights." Asserting the "need to look beyond social prejudices" about the capabilities of women with disabilities, the Court flatly acknowledged that "even medical experts

spotlight

and judges are unconsciously susceptible to these prejudices." Thus, the Supreme Court ordered the city government not only to honor Ms. Srivastava's choice to bring her pregnancy to term, but also to provide her the support she required to handle the consequences of her choice, namely, to raise the child.

Challenges to Making the Right to Legal Capacity a Reality. While the Indian Supreme Court's Suchita Srivatasa decision gives reason to hope that courts will vindicate the right of women with disabilities to legal capacity in times of need, the myriad local laws that restrict their human right to legal capacity under international law underscore the challenges that remain. For example, although Bangladesh's Rights and Protection of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2013 contains a right to legal recognition under the law that appears to correspond with CRPD Article 12, dozens of other national laws authorise restrictions on persons with disabilities' right to legal capacity. In contrast to the CRPD Committee's views adopted in Bujdoso & 5 Others v. Hungary,10 Article 122 of Bangladesh's Constitution and the Electoral Rolls Act, 2009 formally bar people with disabilities from voting if their legal capacity has been restricted by a court. Section 11 of the Contract Act, 1872 effectively bars persons of "unsound mind" from executing contracts, which in practice prevents many persons with intellectual and psychosocial disabilities from accessing services. A similar provision in Section 118 of the Evidence Act, 1872 in practice bars many women with intellectual and psychosocial disabilities from offering evidence of sexual assault and domestic violence in criminal proceedings.

Beyond such codified restrictions of legal capacity, societal attitudes about women with disabilities' mental capacity can remove them from international human rights protections. Take the

case of a homeless Bangladeshi rape survivor with psychosocial disabilities living in Mymensingh district.11 She became pregnant after surviving a rape by a stranger. After she sought refuge in a government-run women's shelter, the subdistrict social welfare officer reported the case to the police. However, the police refused to open a case, justifying their inaction on the woman's insufficient mental capacity to assist with their investigation and prosecution. Although a court had never formally restricted her legal capacity, the police unilaterally predetermined the outcome of its investigation based on her mental capacity, thereby divesting her of her human rights to access to justice and freedom from exploitation, violence and abuse.

The Road Ahead. Because legal systems' reliance on legal capacity as a threshold requirement for exercising a broad array of rights is deeply entrenched, the rules surrounding legal capacity will not change overnight. Nor will the prejudices and discriminatory attitudes that can convert even well-intentioned safeguards into tools of exclusion.

Here, organisations of persons with disabilities (OPDs) must play a critical role. With the proper resources, OPDs can catalyse meaningful, multisectoral change by raising awareness of the CRPD and supporting women with disabilities and their allies to combat rights violations stemming from legal capacity restrictions. 12 In particular, self-advocacy organisations, which are groups formed by persons with intellectual disabilities, 13 as well as the psychiatric survivor movement, driven by persons with psychosocial disabilities, will need to feature prominently in civil society advocacy efforts.¹⁴ Only then will women with disabilities show others how capable they are and become empowered to breathe life into international human rights protections.15

Notes & References

- Finn, C., Smith, M. S., & Stein, M.A. (2022). How Persons with Intellectual Disabilities Are Fighting for Decision-Making Rights, Current History, 121(831), 30-35. doi: https://doi-org/10.1525/ curb.2022.121.831.30.
- Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2005). Background conference document on legal capacity. https://www.ohchr.org/spdocs/crpd/dgd21102009/ohchr_bp_legal_capacity.doc.
- 3 Alford, W., Smith, Smith, M. S., & Stein, M.A. (2022). The right to political participation of persons with intellectual disabilities. (Policy brief 107). Special Olympics Global Center for Inclusion in Education. https://www.specialolympics.org/ what-we-do/youth-and-schools/global-center-for-inclusion-ineducation?locale=en.
- 4 Quinn, G. (2010, February 20). Personhood & Legal Capacity: Perspectives on the Paradigm Shift of Article 12 CRPD, HPOD Conference. https://www.anjalimhro.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Legal_Capacity.pdf.
- 5 Smith, M. S., & Stein, M. A. (2020). Connecting the Right of Collective Legal Capacity by Indigenous Peoples with the Right of Individual Legal Capacity by Persons with Disabilities, International Human Rights Law Review, 9(2), 147-183. doi: https://doi.org/10.1163/22131035-00902007.
- 6 Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, adopted 13 December 2006, entered into force 3 May 2008, U.N. Doc. A/RES/61/106, Annex I.
- 7 Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. (2014). General comment No. 1 - Article 12: Equal recognition before the law, U.N. Doc. CRPD/C/GC/1.
- 8 Manjoo, R. (2012). Report of the Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women, its Causes and Consequences, U.N. Doc. A/67/227.
- 9 Supreme Court of India. (2009). Civil Appeal No. 5845 of 2009, https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1500783.
- 10 Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. (2013). Bujdosó and Five Others v. Hungary, U.N. Doc. CRPD/ C/10/D/4/2011.
- Hossain, S., Karim Siddiquee, M. R., Smith, H. & Khondokar S. S. (2013). Lawyers' Manual on the Rights and Protection of Persons with Disabilities Act, p. 70, available at: https:// disabilitybangladesh.org/resources/lawyers-technical-manual.
- 12 Smith, M. S., Karim Siddiquee, M. R., Stein, M. A., & Lord. J.E. (2021). Mobilizing Disabled Peoples' Organizations to Implement Bangladesh's Disability Law, Journal of Human Rights Practice, 13(2), 433-445. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/ jhuman/huabo34.
- 13 See, e.g., Fracht, A. (2022, September 15). Supported Decision-Making Is about Us: Why self-advocates need to lead supported decision-making efforts in Massachusetts and beyond, Harvard Law School Project on Disability, https://hpod.law.harvard.edu/news/entry/supported-decision-making-is-about-us.
- 14 See, e.g., Sunkel, C., Turtle, A., Gravel, S. A., Mwenja, I., & Abanga, M. A. (2021). Lived Experience Perspectives from Australia, Canada, Kenya, Cameroon and South Africa Conceptualising the Realities, Mental Health, Human Rights, & Legal Capacity. Cambridge, United Kingdom: University Press.
- 15 Quinn, G. (2021). Reconsidering Personhood—From 'Civil Death' to 'Civil Life' for Persons with Disabilities: Reflections on Article 12 of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, The Struggle for Human Rights: Essays in honour of Philip Alston, Nehal Bhuta et al. (eds.). doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/0s0/9780198868064.003.0011.